



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,293	08/01/2003	Sumio Kuroda	1100.68251 5077	
7590 12/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			MERCEDES, DISMERY E	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2651	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/632,293	KURODA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dismery E. Mercedes	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	eptember 2005.						
	•						
<del>/_</del>	·						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-11,14-16 and 19 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>12,13,17,18</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/632,293

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Claim 1, applicant argues that the combination of Ishida in view of Tsuyoshi fails to disclose using a recording head for recording preformat information. Regarding to Claim 2, applicant argues that Ishida does not disclose fining a pattern of the reproduced preformat information.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Regarding claim 1, the combination of Ishida in view of Tsuyoshi does disclose using a recording head for recording preformat information (see Ishida: col.1, lines 42-60; col.3, lines 40-45 and 58-67 and col.9, lines 25-30 and col.10, line 10). With regards to Claim 2, Ishida's disclosure of fine pattern corresponding to the information signal serves anticipatory evidence and allows the examiner to interpret Ishida's reference disclosing fine pattern corresponding to the preformat information as claimed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new

claim limitation "another clock pattern recorded as fine patterns after said servo information pattern is recorded" is not found in the specification as originally filed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 1-4, 9, 10,15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al. (US 6,529,341 B1) in view of Tsuyoshi et al. (4,748,611).

Ishida et al. discloses a preformat method (col.8, lines 40-44) for a magnetic recording medium (col.3, line 55), for recording preformat information including servo information on a magnetic recording medium by a magnetic recording apparatus including a recording head (col.3, line 58), comprising steps of recording at least the servo information of the preformat information on the magnetic recording medium by magnetic transfer (col.3, line 63-col.4, line 6).

Ishida et al. does not explicitly teach recording preformat information excluding the servo information on the magnetic recording medium by the recording head.

However, Tsuyoshi et al. is relied for disclosing such (as depicted in Figs5a-5f preformat information excludes servo information, as previously mentioned, Ishida discloses a recording head to record preformat information). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement Tanaka's technique to modify Ishida's method the

Page 4

motivation being to provide the method disclosed by Ishida with the capability of recording ID information and synchronization signal without reducing the data recording area (as Tsuyoshi teaches in col.3, lines 55-60).

As to Claim 9, it is drawn to the apparatus of Claim 1 and is therefore rejected for the similar reasons set forth in the rejection of Claim 1, respectively.

As to Claims 10 & 15, in the obvious combination of Ishida et al. further shows the magnetic recording medium has a transfer clock pattern, which is synchronized with the servo information pattern, recorded thereon in advance by magnetic transfer (col. 4, lines 43-54 & col.9, lines 36-37 and as depicted in FIG.1), and Tsusyoshi et al. further discloses a phase synchronizing unit for synchronizing a phase of a clock of the recording head for recording information with a phase of a transfer clock obtained by reproducing the transfer clock pattern by the reproducing head (col.6, line 60- col7, line 55 of Tsuyoshi et al.).

As to Claim 2, Ishida et al. further discloses a preformat method (col.8, lines 40-44) for a magnetic recording medium (col.3, line 55), for recording preformat information including servo information on a magnetic recording medium by a magnetic recording and reproducing apparatus (col.4, line 10) including a recording head (col.3, line 58) and reproducing head (col.3, line 60), comprising steps of recording at least the servo information of the preformat information on the magnetic recording medium by magnetic transfer (col.3, line 63-col.4, line 6); reproducing preformat information recorded by magnetic transfer (co.13, line 38-39); fining a pattern of the reproduced preformat information (col.8, lines 4-5).

As to Claims 3 Ishida et al. further discloses a preformat method (col.8, lines 40-44) for a magnetic recording medium (col.3, line 55), for recording preformat information including servo information on a magnetic recording medium by a magnetic recording and reproducing apparatus (col.4, line 10) including a recording head (col.3, line 58) and reproducing head (col.3, line 60), comprising steps of recording at least the servo information of the preformat information on the magnetic recording medium by magnetic transfer (col.3, line 63-col.4, line 6); recording a transfer clock pattern, which is synchronized with a pattern of the servo information, on the magnetic recording medium (as depicted in FIG.1, col. 4, lines 43-54 & col.9, lines 36-37). Ishida et al. fails to explicitly teach recording preformat information excluding the servo information on the magnetic recording medium by the recording head.

However, Tsuyoshi et al. is relied for disclosing such (as depicted in Figs5a-5f preformat information excludes servo information, as previously mentioned, Ishida discloses a recording head to record preformat information). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement Tanaka's technique to modify Ishida's method the motivation being because it would provide the method disclosed by Ishida with the capability of recording ID information and synchronization signal without reducing the data recording area (as Tsuyoshi teaches in col.3, lines 55-60).

As to Claim 4, Ishida et al. discloses a preformat method (col.8, lines 40-44) for a magnetic recording medium (col.3, line 55), for recording preformat information including servo information on a magnetic recording medium by a magnetic recording and reproducing apparatus (col.4, line 10) including a recording head (col.3, line 58) and reproducing head (col.3, line 60), comprising steps of recording at least the servo information of the preformat information on the magnetic recording medium by magnetic transfer (col.3, line 63-col.4, line 6); reproducing preformat information recorded by magnetic transfer (co.13, line 38-39); fining a pattern of the reproduced preformat information (col.8, lines 4-5).

Art Unit: 2651

Ishida et al. does not explicitly teach and recording the fined preformat information on the magnetic recording medium by the recording head.

However, Tsuyoshi et al. is relied for disclosing such (as depicted in Figs5a-5f preformat information excludes servo information, as previously mentioned, Ishida discloses a recording head to record preformat information). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement Tanaka's technique to modify Ishida's method the motivation being because it would provide the method disclosed by Ishida with the capability of recording ID information and synchronization signal without reducing the data recording area (as Tsuyoshi teaches in col.3, lines 55-60).

2. Claims 11, 14, 16 & 19 are rejected as being unpatentable over Ishida et al. in view of Tsuyoshi et al. further in view of Yamakoshi (US 6,381,292).

The teachings of Ishida et al. in view of Tsuyoshi et al are incorporated herein. The combination of Ishida and Tsuyoshi et al discloses the magnetic recording and reproducing apparatus according to Claims 9,10,15, but failed to explicitly disclose a frequency-multiplying unit for multiplying a frequency for recording information in a clock finer than the transfer clock. However, Yamakoshi discloses a phase synchronizing apparatus, which includes a frequency multiplying unit (as depicted in FIG.4 & col.6, lines44-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a phase synchronizing circuit including frequency multiplier as taught by Yomakoshi, in the system of Ishida and Tsuyoshi et al, because it would provide the system of Ishida and Tsuyoshi et al with the enhanced capability of adjusting the signal to a desired predetermined amplitude (col.6, lines 44-45 of Yomakoshi).

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 12-13, 17-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Uchida et al. (US 6,084,731); Tanaka et al. (US 5,680,267); Hoshimo et al. (US 5,539,723).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dismery E. Mercedes whose telephone number is 571-272-7558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, from 9:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dismery E Mercedes Examiner Art Unit 2651

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

DM